Litigation Due Diligence Analysis

Lane v. Parker

By

MBK

October 6, 2023

Table of Contents

[1. SUMMARY 11](#_Toc147469381)

[2. PARTIES/SIGNIFICANT FIGURES 11](#_Toc147469382)

[3. STATEMENT OF FACTS / EVIDENTIARY SUPPORT 14](#_Toc147469383)

[4. yn\_notable\_provisions\_govdocs\_table == "Yes" NOTABLE PROVISIONS OF THE GOVERNING DOCUMENTS###yn\_notable\_provisions\_agreement\_table == "Yes" NOTABLE PROVISIONS OF ONE OR MORE OPERATIVE AGREEMENTS###yn\_notable\_provisions\_govdocs\_table == "No" or yn\_notable\_provisions\_agreement\_table == "No"RESERVED### 16](#_Toc147469384)

[5. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION/CLARIFICATION NEEDED FROM CLIENT 17](#_Toc147469385)

[6. CIVIL CODE § 5200 DOCUMENT DEMAND 18](#_Toc147469386)

[7. ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS NEEDED FROM CLIENT 19](#_Toc147469387)

[8. THIRD-PARTY DOCUMENTS/INFORMATION KNOWN TO EXIST 20](#_Toc147469388)

[9. MUST NOT USE HOA’S PRIVILEGED DOCUMENTS 22](#_Toc147469389)

[9.1. Can Client Waive the Privilege? 22](#_Toc147469390)

[9.2. Does the CRPC Require the Firm to *Return* the Privileged Documents? 22](#_Toc147469391)

[9.3. Does Providing Privileged Documents to the Firm Constitute a Fiduciary Breach by Client? 23](#_Toc147469392)

[10. radio\_client\_plaintiff\_defendant == "Plaintiff/Petitioner" or radio\_client\_plaintiff\_defendant == "Plaintiff & Cross-Defendant" CURRENT CAUSES OF ACTION ALLEGED IN THE COMPLAINT & THE STRENGTHS/WEAKNESSES OF EACH###radio\_client\_plaintiff\_defendant == "Defendant/Respondent" and yn\_client\_filed\_cross\_complaint == "Yes" CURRENT CAUSES OF ACTION ALLEGED IN THE CROSS-COMPLAINT & THE STRENGTHS/WEAKNESSES OF EACH### radio\_client\_plaintiff\_defendant == "Defendant/Respondent" and yn\_client\_filed\_cross\_complaint == "No" and yn\_cross\_claims == "Yes" POTENTIAL CAUSES OF ACTION TO INCLUDE IN A CROSS-COMPLAINT & THE STRENGTHS/WEAKNESSES OF EACH###radio\_client\_plaintiff\_defendant == "Defendant/Respondent" and yn\_client\_filed\_cross\_complaint == "No" and yn\_cross\_claims != "Yes" RESERVED### 24](#_Toc147469393)

[10.1. Breach of CC&Rs / Breach of Equitable Servitudes / Violation of Civ. Code, § 5975 24](#_Toc147469394)

[10.2. Breach of Contract 27](#_Toc147469395)

[10.3. Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing 29](#_Toc147469396)

[10.4. Breach of Other Governing Documents 31](#_Toc147469397)

[10.5. Negligence 32](#_Toc147469398)

[10.6. Breach of Fiduciary Duty 36](#_Toc147469399)

[10.7. Nuisance 41](#_Toc147469400)

[10.8. Trespass 47](#_Toc147469401)

[10.9. Interference with Prospective Business Advantage 51](#_Toc147469402)

[10.10. Interference with Contract 52](#_Toc147469403)

[10.11. Intentional Misrepresentation (Fraud) 54](#_Toc147469404)

[10.12. Negligent Misrepresentation 58](#_Toc147469405)

[10.13. Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress (“IIED”) 59](#_Toc147469406)

[10.14. Violation of Open Meeting Act 61](#_Toc147469407)

[10.15. Declaratory Relief 63](#_Toc147469408)

[10.16. Violation of Election Laws (Civ. Code, § 5100 et seq.) 75](#_Toc147469409)

[10.17. Assault 78](#_Toc147469410)

[10.18. Battery 80](#_Toc147469411)

[10.19. Defamation 82](#_Toc147469412)

[10.20. Civil Stalking 84](#_Toc147469413)

[10.21. Violation of Statute (Dog Bite) 86](#_Toc147469414)

[10.22. False Imprisonment 87](#_Toc147469415)

[10.23. Invasion of Privacy 89](#_Toc147469416)

[10.24. Express Indemnity 91](#_Toc147469417)

[10.25. Equitable Indemnity 92](#_Toc147469418)

[10.26. Failure to Permit Inspection of Records 94](#_Toc147469419)

[10.27. Quiet Title 95](#_Toc147469420)

[10.28. Slander of Title 97](#_Toc147469421)

[10.29. Cancellation of Instrument 98](#_Toc147469422)

[10.30. Reformation of Instrument 99](#_Toc147469423)

[10.31. Partition 100](#_Toc147469424)

[10.32. Conversion 102](#_Toc147469425)

[10.33. Trespass to Chattels 104](#_Toc147469426)

[10.34. Open Book Account 106](#_Toc147469427)

[10.35. Money Had and Received 107](#_Toc147469428)

[10.36. Account Stated 108](#_Toc147469429)

[10.37. Goods and Services Rendered 109](#_Toc147469430)

[10.38. Unjust Enrichment 110](#_Toc147469431)

[10.39. Rescission 111](#_Toc147469432)

[10.40. Financial Elder Abuse (Welf. & Inst. Code, § 15610.30) 112](#_Toc147469433)

[10.41. Negligent Hiring 114](#_Toc147469434)

[10.42. Negligent Supervision 116](#_Toc147469435)

[10.43. Unfair Business Practices (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 17200 et seq.) 119](#_Toc147469436)

[10.44. Receipt of Stolen Property (Penal Code § 496) 122](#_Toc147469437)

[10.45. Misrepresentation in Connection with Sale of Security (Corp. Code, § 25401) 123](#_Toc147469438)

[10.46. Recovery Against Contractor’s Bond 125](#_Toc147469439)

[10.47. Breach of Independent Wholesale Representatives Act (Civ. Code, § 1738.10 et seq) 126](#_Toc147469440)

[10.48. Violation of California Uniform Trade Secrets Act (Civ. Code, § 3426 et seq.) 130](#_Toc147469441)

[10.49. Malicious Prosecution 131](#_Toc147469442)

[10.50. Abuse of Process 133](#_Toc147469443)

[10.51. Insurance Bad Faith 135](#_Toc147469444)

[10.52. Medical Malpractice 137](#_Toc147469445)

[10.53. Legal Malpractice 139](#_Toc147469446)

[10.54. Fraudulent Transfer (Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act—Civil Code, § 3439 et seq.) 143](#_Toc147469447)

[11. (radio\_client\_plaintiff\_defendant == "Plaintiff/Petitioner" or radio\_client\_plaintiff\_defendant == "Plaintiff & Cross-Defendant") and yn\_add\_new\_coa\_complaint == "Yes" POTENTIAL CAUSES OF ACTION TO BE ADDED TO THE COMPLAINT & THE STRENGTHS/WEAKNESSES OF EACH###radio\_client\_plaintiff\_defendant == "Defendant/Respondent" and yn\_add\_new\_coa\_cross\_complaint == "Yes" POTENTIAL CAUSES OF ACTION TO BE ADDED TO THE CROSS-COMPLAINT & THE STRENGTHS/WEAKNESSES OF EACH###radio\_client\_plaintiff\_defendant == "Defendant/Respondent" and (yn\_add\_new\_coa\_cross\_complaint == "No" or yn\_cross\_claims == "No") RESERVED### 146](#_Toc147469448)

[11.1. Breach of CC&Rs / Breach of Equitable Servitudes / Violation of Civ. Code, § 5975 146](#_Toc147469449)

[11.2. Breach of Contract 149](#_Toc147469450)

[11.3. Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing 151](#_Toc147469451)

[11.4. Breach of Other Governing Documents 153](#_Toc147469452)

[11.5. Negligence 154](#_Toc147469453)

[11.6. Breach of Fiduciary Duty 158](#_Toc147469454)

[11.7. Nuisance 163](#_Toc147469455)

[11.8. Trespass 169](#_Toc147469456)

[11.9. Interference with Prospective Business Advantage 172](#_Toc147469457)

[11.10. Interference with Contract 174](#_Toc147469458)

[11.11. Intentional Misrepresentation (Fraud) 175](#_Toc147469459)

[11.12. Negligent Misrepresentation 179](#_Toc147469460)

[11.13. Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress (“IIED”) 181](#_Toc147469461)

[11.14. Violation of Open Meeting Act 183](#_Toc147469462)

[11.15. Declaratory Relief 184](#_Toc147469463)

[11.16. Violation of Election Laws (Civ. Code, § 5100 et seq.) 195](#_Toc147469464)

[11.17. Assault 199](#_Toc147469465)

[11.18. Battery 200](#_Toc147469466)

[11.19. Defamation 202](#_Toc147469467)

[11.20. Civil Stalking 204](#_Toc147469468)

[11.21. Violation of Statute (Dog Bite) 206](#_Toc147469469)

[11.22. False Imprisonment 207](#_Toc147469470)

[11.23. Invasion of Privacy 209](#_Toc147469471)

[11.24. Express Indemnity 211](#_Toc147469472)

[11.25. Equitable Indemnity 212](#_Toc147469473)

[11.26. Failure to Permit Inspection of Records 214](#_Toc147469474)

[11.27. Quiet Title 215](#_Toc147469475)

[11.28. Slander of Title 217](#_Toc147469476)

[11.29. Cancellation of Instrument 218](#_Toc147469477)

[11.30. Reformation of Instrument 219](#_Toc147469478)

[11.31. Partition 220](#_Toc147469479)

[11.32. Conversion 222](#_Toc147469480)

[11.33. Trespass to Chattels 224](#_Toc147469481)

[11.34. Open Book Account 226](#_Toc147469482)

[11.35. Money Had and Received 227](#_Toc147469483)

[11.36. Account Stated 228](#_Toc147469484)

[11.37. Goods and Services Rendered 229](#_Toc147469485)

[11.38. Unjust Enrichment 230](#_Toc147469486)

[11.39. Rescission 231](#_Toc147469487)

[11.40. Financial Elder Abuse (Welf. & Inst. Code, § 15610.30) 232](#_Toc147469488)

[11.41. Negligent Hiring 234](#_Toc147469489)

[11.42. Negligent Supervision 236](#_Toc147469490)

[11.43. Unfair Business Practices (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 17200 et seq.) 239](#_Toc147469491)

[11.44. Receipt of Stolen Property (Penal Code § 496) 241](#_Toc147469492)

[11.45. Misrepresentation in Connection with Sale of Security (Corp. Code, § 25401) 242](#_Toc147469493)

[11.46. Recovery Against Contractor’s Bond 245](#_Toc147469494)

[11.47. Breach of Independent Wholesale Representatives Act (Civ. Code, § 1738.10 et seq) 246](#_Toc147469495)

[11.48. Violation of California Uniform Trade Secrets Act (Civ. Code, § 3426 et seq.) 249](#_Toc147469496)

[11.49. Malicious Prosecution 251](#_Toc147469497)

[11.50. Abuse of Process 253](#_Toc147469498)

[11.51. Insurance Bad Faith 254](#_Toc147469499)

[11.52. Medical Malpractice 257](#_Toc147469500)

[11.53. Legal Malpractice 259](#_Toc147469501)

[11.54. Fraudulent Transfer (Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act—Civil Code, § 3439 et seq.) 262](#_Toc147469502)

[radio\_client\_plaintiff\_defendant == "Defendant/Respondent" or radio\_client\_plaintiff\_defendant == "Plaintiff & Cross-Defendant" 265](#_Toc147469503)

[12. POTENTIAL AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES 265](#_Toc147469504)

[12.1. BJR (Lamden) 266](#_Toc147469505)

[12.2. Statute of Limitations 268](#_Toc147469506)

[12.3. Equitable Estoppel 273](#_Toc147469507)

[12.4. Unclean Hands 273](#_Toc147469508)

[12.5. Laches 274](#_Toc147469509)

[12.6. Negligence (Comparative Fault) 274](#_Toc147469510)

[12.7. Apportionment 275](#_Toc147469511)

[12.8. Negligence (Sudden Emergency) 275](#_Toc147469512)

[12.9. Assumption of Risk 276](#_Toc147469513)

[12.10. Contract (Force Majeure) 276](#_Toc147469514)

[12.11. Contract (Duress) 277](#_Toc147469515)

[12.12. Contract (Fraud) 277](#_Toc147469516)

[12.13. Contract (Frustration of Purpose) 278](#_Toc147469517)

[12.14. Contract (Lack of Consideration) 278](#_Toc147469518)

[12.15. Contract (Failure of Consideration) 279](#_Toc147469519)

[12.16. Contract (Illegality) 279](#_Toc147469520)

[12.17. Contract (Impossibility) 279](#_Toc147469521)

[12.18. Contract (Impracticability) 280](#_Toc147469522)

[12.19. Contract (Mistake of Law) 280](#_Toc147469523)

[12.20. Contract (Mistake of Fact) 281](#_Toc147469524)

[12.21. Contract (Novation) 281](#_Toc147469525)

[12.22. Contract (Statute of Frauds) 282](#_Toc147469526)

[12.23. Contract (Unconscionability) 283](#_Toc147469527)

[12.24. Contract (Undue Influence) 284](#_Toc147469528)

[12.25. Contract (Accord and Satisfaction) 284](#_Toc147469529)

[12.26. Waiver 284](#_Toc147469530)

[12.27. Failure to Mitigate 285](#_Toc147469531)

[12.28. Lack of Damages 285](#_Toc147469532)

[12.29. Failure to State a Claim 286](#_Toc147469533)

[12.30. No Causation 286](#_Toc147469534)

[12.31. Justification 287](#_Toc147469535)

[12.32. Ratification 287](#_Toc147469536)

[12.33. Litigation Privilege (Civ. Code, § 47) 288](#_Toc147469537)

[12.34. Consent 288](#_Toc147469538)

[12.35. Necessity 289](#_Toc147469539)

[12.36. Private Necessity 289](#_Toc147469540)

[12.37. Equitable Easement 290](#_Toc147469541)

[13. STRATEGIC CONSIDERATIONS 291](#_Toc147469542)

[13.1. Statute of Limitations 291](#_Toc147469543)

[13.2. Applicability of Davis-Stirling Act 292](#_Toc147469544)

[13.3. Jurisdiction 292](#_Toc147469545)

[13.3.1. Arbitration 292](#_Toc147469546)

[13.3.2. Venue 294](#_Toc147469547)

[13.4. Standing 295](#_Toc147469548)

[13.5. Anti-SLAPP Analysis 296](#_Toc147469549)

[13.6. Damage to Client’s Credit as an Element of Client’s Damages 305](#_Toc147469550)

[13.7. Pre-Filing Requirements (e.g., Notice or Mediation Requirements) 306](#_Toc147469551)

[13.8. Attorneys’ Fees and Costs 309](#_Toc147469552)

[14. FINAL THOUGHTS / ISSUES / CONCERNS / COMMENTS 313](#_Toc147469553)

# SUMMARY

In nulla posuere sollicitudin aliquam ultrices sagittis orci a. Sagittis aliquam malesuada bibendum arcu vitae elementum curabitur vitae. Euismod in pellentesque massa placerat duis ultricies. In ante metus dictum at tempor. Malesuada nunc vel risus commodo viverra maecenas accumsan lacus. Sem et tortor consequat id porta nibh. Pellentesque elit eget gravida cum sociis. Enim nunc faucibus a pellentesque sit amet porttitor eget dolor. Est pellentesque elit ullamcorper dignissim. Nunc sed blandit libero volutpat sed cras. Venenatis urna cursus eget nunc scelerisque viverra. Eu tincidunt tortor aliquam nulla facilisi cras fermentum.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

# PARTIES/SIGNIFICANT FIGURES

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Name of Party / Significant Figure** | **Significance to Underlying Matter/Dispute** |
| Lois Lane (“Client”)DELETE THIS NOTE: If we represent more than one individual/entity, then list all our Clients here—one on each line. Then, make sure to alter the defined “Client” to say: **“(collectively, ‘Client’”)**. The point is to keep “Client” *singular* no matter how many people/entities we represent. If there’s a need to refer to different Clients in the “Statement of Facts/Evidentiary Support” section below, you can put a shortcut (“\*\*\*”) after each individual Client, but still collectively define all of them as “Client.” | N/A |
| Peter Parker  | Wrongdoer |

The table above may be amended from time to time to reflect revisions to Client’s narrative and/or new information that may become available in the future.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

# STATEMENT OF FACTS / EVIDENTIARY SUPPORT

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Date / NA** | **Fact** | **Evidence Supporting That Fact** |
| \* | This section should contain a comprehensive and objective statement of the relevant facts of the case, as well as any relevant dates. When possible, cite to evidence already in our possession that support the facts referenced. | \* |
| 4/19/19 | THIS IS AN EXAMPLE. REPLACE IT WITH ACTUAL DATA.Client closed escrow on the property. | Client Timeline |
| 6/10/19 | THIS IS AN EXAMPLE. REPLACE IT WITH ACTUAL DATA.Client notified HOA of sprinkler leak into Client’s unit. | Email from Client to Mgmt. Co. |
| N/A | REMEMBER TO DELETE ANY EXCESS ROWS IN THE TABLE BY DRAGGING YOUR MOUSE OVER THE ROWS TO BE DELETED AND THEN PRESSING **BACKSPACE** and then pressing **DELETE ENTIRE ROW**. | \*\* |
| \* | \*\* | \*\* |
| \* | \*\* | \*\* |
| \* | \*\* | \*\* |
| \* | \*\* | \*\* |
| \* | \*\* | \*\* |
| \* | \*\* | \*\* |
| \* | \*\* | \*\* |

This table may be amended from time to time as new information/evidence comes in. To the extent that such new information necessitates any significant revisions to Client’s litigation strategy, where applicable, the Firm will work with Client to develop a new strategy.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

# NOTABLE PROVISIONS OF THE GOVERNING DOCUMENTS

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Document Name****Article / Section No.** | **Text of the Selected Article/Sections No.****(if none, put “N/A”; delete rows that you didn’t use; maintain formatting)** |
| CC&RsArticle IX, Section 6.01 | THIS IS AN EXAMPLE. REPLACE IT WITH ACTUAL DATA.  |
| Purchase AgreementSection 8.4 | THIS IS AN EXAMPLE. REPLACE IT WITH ACTUAL DATA. |
| N/A | REMEMBER TO DELETE ANY EXCESS ROWS IN THE TABLE. IF YOU DON’T KNOW HOW TO DO THAT, ASK MBK.  |
| \* |  |
| \* | \*\* |
| \* | \*\* |
| \* | \*\* |
| \* | \*\* |
| \* | \*\* |
| \* | \*\* |

The table may or may not contain all the significant provisions of the document(s) at issue. It is simply a place to include one or more provisions of one or more operative agreement/document that we believe could play a role in some aspect of Client’s case (e.g., binding arbitration, attorneys’ fees, and choice of law provisions). The provisions contained in the table, therefore, should neither be viewed as an exhaustive list of key provisions/evidence, nor be used as a measure of what provisions of the operative documents might strengthen (or weaken) Client’s case.

 \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

# ADDITIONAL INFORMATION/CLARIFICATION NEEDED FROM CLIENT

At this time, the Firm does not need Client to provide any additional information or clarification. This section of the LADD may, however, be amended from time to time as new information/questions arise.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

# ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS NEEDED FROM CLIENT

At this time, the Firm does not need Client to provide any additional documents. This section of the LADD, however, may be amended from time to time if Client locates additional documents, or if a third party produces additional documents.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

# THIRD-PARTY DOCUMENTS/INFORMATION KNOWN TO EXIST

At this time, Client is unaware of any documents or information that can only be obtained from a third party. This, however, may change as new information comes to light, in which case the LADD may be amended to reflect such new information.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

# CURRENT CAUSES OF ACTION ALLEGED IN THE COMPLAINT &THE STRENGTHS/WEAKNESSES OF EACH

## Declaratory Relief

Elements—Declaratory Relief

— The essential elements of a declaratory relief cause of action are: (i) an actual controversy between the parties’ contractual or property rights; (ii) involving continuing acts/omissions or future consequences; (iii) that have sufficiently ripened to permit judicial intervention and resolution; and (iv) that have not yet blossomed into an actual cause of action. (*Osseous Technologies of America, Inc. v. DiscoveryOrtho Partners LLC* (2010) 191 Cal.App.4th 357, 366–69.)

— In an action for declaratory relief, an “actual controversy” is one that “admits of definitive and conclusive relief by judgment within the field of judicial administration, as distinguished from an advisory opinion upon a particular or hypothetical state of facts; the judgment must decree, not suggest, what the parties may or may not do.” (*Selby Realty Co. v. City of San Buenaventura* (1973) 10 Cal.3d 110.)

— Code Civ. Proc., § 1060 explicitly permits declaratory relief claims to determine the rights and duties of an HOA/homeowner.

— When enforcement is an issue in a declaratory relief cause of action, it tends to arise in two ways: (i) an HOA is not enforcing rules at all; or (ii) an HOA is applying different rules to different homeowners and/or issuing fines that are not supported by existing CC&Rs (i.e., selective enforcement).

• HOA Not Enforcing Rules.

→ A homeowner can sue his or her HOA to compel enforcement of the CC&Rs. (*Lamden v. La Jolla Shores Clubdominium Homeowners Assn* (1999) 21 Cal.4th 249, 268; *Pinnacle Museum Tower Assn. v. Pinnacle Market Development (US) LLC* (2012) 55 Cal.4th 223, 239.)

• Selective Enforcement.

→ In an improper enforcement situation, there a couple avenues of attack against the HOA. First is to examine the propriety of the rule itself. Use restrictions can be enforced unless they are wholly arbitrary, violate a fundamental public policy, or impose a burden on the use of affected land that far outweighs any benefit. (*Sui v. Price* (2011) 196 Cal.App.4th 933.)

→ The second avenue is to review the enforcement process used by the HOA. This enforcement must be “in good faith, not arbitrary or capricious, and by procedures which are fair and uniformly applied.” (*Liebler v. Point Loma Tennis Club* (1995) 40 Cal.App.4th 1600, 1610; *Nahrstedt v. Lakeside Village Condominium Assn.* (1994) 8 Cal.4th 361.) In other words, the HOA must enforce the CC&Rs in a uniform and fair manner, or else its enforcement will be deemed unlawful. (*Dolan-King v. Rancho Santa Fe Ass’n.* (2000) 81 Cal.App.4th 965, 975, citing former Civ. Code, § 1354; *Villas De Las Palmas Homeowners Ass’n. v. Terifaj* (2004) 33 Cal.4th 73, 84.)

→ When an HOA seeks to enforce the provisions of its CC&Rs to compel an act by one of its member owners, it is incumbent upon it to show that it has followed its own standards and procedures prior to pursuing such a remedy, that those procedures were fair and reasonable and that its substantive decision was made in good faith, and is reasonable, not arbitrary or capricious. [Citations.]” (*Ironwood Owners Assn. IX v. Solomon* (1986) 178 Cal.App.3d 766, 772.) “The criteria for testing the reasonableness of an exercise of such a power by an owners’ association are (1) whether the reason for withholding approval is rationally related to the protection, preservation or proper operation of the property and the purposes of the Association as set forth in its governing instruments and (2) whether the power was exercised in a fair and nondiscriminatory manner. [Citations.]” (*Laguna Royale Owners Assn. v. Darger* (1981) 119 Cal.App.3d 670, 683–684.)

— One of the fundamental duties of an HOA is to maintain the common areas. (Civ. Code, § 4775.) In performing its duties, an association shall perform a reasonably competent and diligent visual inspection of the accessible areas of the major components that the association is obligated to repair, replace, restore or maintain. (Civ. Code, § 5500(a).)

Remedies—

— The remedy for a declaratory relief cause of action is a judicial declaration specifying the rights and obligations of the parties. (Code Civ. Proc., § 1060.)

— As to whether attorneys’ fees are available to the prevailing party, see “Attorneys’ Fees and Costs” section below.

Applicable Statute of Limitations—

— The statute of limitations governing a request for declaratory relief is the one applicable to an ordinary legal or equitable action based on the same claim. (*Mangini v. Aerojet–General Corp.* (1991) 230 Cal.App.3d 1125, 1155.)

Application—Application of the Law to Client’s Facts

— REPLACE THIS TEXT by restating applicable facts from above that support the elements of a cause of action for *declaratory relief*. If one or more provisions of the CC&Rs is/are relevant, you should cite to that/those provision(s) here (no need to quote or provide a snip).

— \*\*\*

— \*\*\*

Conclusion—Strengths/Pros and Weaknesses/Cons of this Potential Cause of Action

— REPLACE THIS TEXT by drawing a conclusion about the *strengths* of this particular cause of action given the evidence at our disposal.

— REPLACE THIS TEXT by drawing a conclusion about the *weaknesses*, if any, of this particular cause of action given the evidence at our disposal. If there are none, say so—e.g., “At this time, this cause of action is supported by the facts and the law.”

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

# POTENTIAL CAUSES OF ACTION TO BE ADDED TO THE COMPLAINT &THE STRENGTHS/WEAKNESSES OF EACH

## Breach of CC&Rs / Breach of Equitable Servitudes / Violation of Civ. Code, § 5975

Elements—Breach of CC&Rs

— Restrictive covenants and recorded declarations are written agreements governed by contract principles. (*Pinnacle Museum Tower Assn. v. Pinnacle Market Development (US) LLC* (2012) 55 Cal.4th 223, 240.) Restrictive covenants and recorded declarations are of a contractual nature and are enforceable by statute unless unreasonable. (*Id. at* 237; and see Civ. Code, § 5975.) Because the Declaration of CC&Rs is a recorded declaration of restrictive covenants, it is enforceable provided it is not unreasonable. “[S]ettled principles of condominium law establish that an owners association, like its constituent members, must act in conformity with the terms of a recorded declaration. (See Civ. Code, § 5975, subd. (a); *Lamden v. La Jolla Shores Clubdominium Homeowners Assn.* (1999) 21 Cal.4th 249, 268 [homeowner can sue association to compel enforcement of declaration's provisions];(Citations.)” (*Pinnacle Museum Tower Assn. v. Pinnacle Market Development (US) LLC*, supra, 55 Cal.4th at p. 239.)

— Where enforcement is an issue in a breach of CC&R cause of action, it tends to arise in two ways: (i) HOA not enforcing rules at all; or (ii) HOA applying different rules to different homeowners and/or issuing fines that are not supported by existing CC&Rs (i.e., selective enforcement).

• HOA Not Enforcing Rules.

→ A homeowner can sue his or her HOA to compel enforcement of the CC&Rs. (*Lamden v. La Jolla Shores Clubdominium Homeowners Assn., supra,* 21 Cal.4th at 268; *Pinnacle Museum Tower Assn. v. Pinnacle Market Development* *(US) LLC, supra,* 55 Cal.4th 223, 239.)

• Selective Enforcement.

→ In an improper enforcement situation, there a couple avenues of attack against the HOA. First is to examine the propriety of the rule itself. Use restrictions can be enforced unless they are wholly arbitrary, violate a fundamental public policy, or impose a burden on the use of affected land that far outweighs any benefit. (*Sui v. Price* (2011) 196 Cal.App.4th 933.)

→ The second avenue is to review the enforcement process used by the HOA. This enforcement must be “in good faith, not arbitrary or capricious, and by procedures which are fair and uniformly applied.” (*Liebler v. Point Loma Tennis Club* (1995) 40 Cal.App.4th 1600, 1610; *Nahrstedt v. Lakeside Village Condominium Assn.* (1994) 8 Cal.4th 361.) In other words, the HOA must enforce the CC&Rs in a uniform and fair manner, or else its enforcement will be deemed unlawful. (*Dolan-King v. Rancho Santa Fe Ass’n.* (2000) 81 Cal.App.4th 965, 975, citing former Civ. Code, § 1354; *Villas De Las Palmas Homeowners Ass’n. v. Terifaj* (2004) 33 Cal.4th 73, 84.)

→ When an HOA seeks to enforce the provisions of its CC&Rs to compel an act by one of its member owners, it is incumbent upon it to show that it has followed its own standards and procedures prior to pursuing such a remedy, that those procedures were fair and reasonable and that its substantive decision was made in good faith, and is reasonable, not arbitrary or capricious. [Citations.]” (*Ironwood Owners Assn. IX v. Solomon* (1986) 178 Cal.App.3d 766, 772.) “The criteria for testing the reasonableness of an exercise of such a power by an owners’ association are (1) whether the reason for withholding approval is rationally related to the protection, preservation or proper operation of the property and the purposes of the Association as set forth in its governing instruments and (2) whether the power was exercised in a fair and nondiscriminatory manner. [Citations.]” (*Laguna Royale Owners Assn. v. Darger* (1981) 119 Cal.App.3d 670, 683–684.)

— One of the fundamental duties of an HOA is to maintain the common areas. (Civ. Code, § 4775.) In performing its duties, an association shall perform a reasonably competent and diligent visual inspection of the accessible areas of the major components that the association is obligated to repair, replace, restore or maintain. (Civ. Code, § 5500(a).)

Applicable Statute of Limitations—

— The statute of limitations to enforce a restriction, which includes CC&Rs, is five years. (Code Civ. Proc., § 336(b).) Consequently, an action for a violation of a restriction must be commenced within five years after the party enforcing the restriction discovers, or through the exercise of reasonable diligence, should have discovered, the violation. [*As used here, a “restriction” means a limitation on, or a provision affecting the use of, real property in a deed, Declaration, or other instrument in the form of a covenant, equitable servitude, condition subsequent, negative easement, or other form of restriction.*] (Civ. Code, § 784.)

Remedies—

— While typically injunctive in nature, courts may fashion remedies to enjoin an ongoing breaches. (*Ritter & Ritter Inc. Pension and Profit Plan v. The Churchill Condominium Assn.* (2008) 166 Cal.App.4th 103.) Additionally, compensatory damages are available if plaintiff incurred monetary damages. (*Cutujian v. Benedict Hills Estates Assn.* (1996) 41 Cal.App.4th 1379, 1385; Civ. Code, §§ 3281, 3300.)

— As to whether attorneys’ fees are available to the prevailing party, see “Attorneys’ Fees and Costs” section below.

Application—Application of the Law to Client’s Facts

— REPLACE THIS TEXT by restating applicable facts from above that support the elements of a cause of action for *breach of the CC&Rs*. If one or more provisions of the CC&Rs is/are relevant, you should cite to that/those provision(s) here (no need to quote or provide a snip). **By the same token, however, you need to determine whether the CC&Rs actually require the HOA to enforce the CC&Rs. Some do, and some don’t.**

— \*\*\*

— \*\*\*

Conclusion—Strengths/Pros and Weaknesses/Cons of this Potential Cause of Action

— REPLACE THIS TEXT by drawing a conclusion about the *strengths* of this particular cause of action given the evidence at our disposal.

— REPLACE THIS TEXT by drawing a conclusion about the *weaknesses*, if any, of this particular cause of action given the evidence at our disposal. If there are none, say so—e.g., “At this time, this cause of action is supported by the facts and the law.”

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

# STRATEGIC CONSIDERATIONS

## Statute of Limitations

This section is *not* intended to address whether or not the statute of limitations has run on a particular cause of action that might have otherwise been relevant under the facts. Those specifics can be found in reference to each of the potential causes of action discussed above. This section of the LADD is intended only to highlight the earliest statute of limitations of a claim that remains available to Client.

If Client wants to file a lawsuit containing the applicable the causes of action discussed above, the action must be filed on or before **April 3, 2025** (the *earliest* of the applicable non-expired statutes of limitations deadlines given the desired causes of action).

## Applicability of Davis-Stirling Act

The Davis-Stirling Act applies to the facts of this dispute.

## Jurisdiction

### Arbitration

### Venue

## Standing

Client may lack standing to bring cause of action for \*\*\*. [*State the reasons for lack of standing. If there is more than one cause of action at issue, adjust the language accordingly.*] The Firm will take a closer look at the standing issue and follow up with Client in the near future.

## Anti-SLAPP Analysis

## Pre-Filing Requirements(e.g., Notice or Mediation Requirements)

Given the facts of this dispute, the Davis-Stirling Act does *not* require pre-lawsuit ADR.

## Attorneys’ Fees and Costs

The prevailing party is entitled to attorneys’ fees and costs under the Davis-Stirling Act.

If new information comes to light that affects Client’s right to attorneys’ fees and costs, Client will be notified.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

# FINAL THOUGHTS / ISSUES / CONCERNS / COMMENTS

This section of the LADD might be amended from time to time to reflect new information, strategies, or concerns that arise during the course of the litigation.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_